Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education-TOJDE January 2006 ISSN 1302-6488 Volume: 7 Number: 1 Article: 4

Skill Enhancement for Health: An Evaluation of an Online Pilot Teaching Module on Epidemiology

Rory McGREAL, PhD Athabasca University – Canada's Open University Athabasca AB, CANADA

Sue DAVIS, MSc. New Brunswick Community College–Saint John Saint John, CANADA

Terry MURPHY, PhD Consortium for Information Technology in Education (CITE) Saint John, CANADA

Chris SMITH, BA Consortium for Information Technology in Education (CITE) Saint John, CANADA

ABSTRACT

The evaluation of this pilot of an epidemiology course conducted online and delivered across Canada was based on four main criteria: design, content, process and outcomes. Data was collected through seven sources: participant online survey results, post-pilot workshop feedback, four focus groups, telephone interviews with participants, interviews with course developers, examination of online materials, and analysis of log files generated by the web server. The pilot course had the following outcomes:

Findings on the delivery showed that the course took much more time than estimated; the online environment was challenging/frustrating for some; there were technical glitches; discussion boards were not regularly used; interaction with instructors was minimal; feedback from instructors was slow in coming; the short development time led to errors/mismatches between content and assessment; and the high student/teacher ratio of 1:48 made it difficult to provide timely feedback.

Keywords: Distance learning, case study, health education, interaction, Web-based learning

INTRODUCTION

Health Canada's Centre for Surveillance Coordination used Internet-based distance learning to deliver a course in epidemiology. This pilot project was an initiative to increase the skills of nursing and other staff in local public health departments and regional health authorities across Canada. Building an online course allowed the Surveillance Centre to reach geographically dispersed public health workers, and to further employees' access to continuing professional development.

The pilot course in epidemiology was developed by ZeddComm Inc. and Memorial University of Newfoundland (MUN). The course goals included the following:

- > create a prototype course promoting active learning;
- > use discussion as part of the learning process;

- > make the course accessible at the workplace;
- > use self-evaluation as a type of assessment; and
- > give students control over pace and time spent on the course.

MUN faculty used the WebCT learning management system to teach 48 participants, 43 of whom were active throughout the pilot.

The decision was made to use the Internet as the mode of delivery because the potential students for this project were spread across Canada. The New Brunswick Distance Education Network and the Consortium for Information Technology (CITE) provided an evaluation of instructional approaches for delivery. Another useful document in making the decision to go online was a University of Ottawa paper, entitled *Internet-based distance learning in epidemiology and public health for health surveillance*, which concluded that this initiative would not duplicate existing courses and they also recommended that Canadian content be included in any new offerings of courses.

The following decisions were made as a result of the papers that were produced and the consultations that were held:

- Course would be web-based, interactive, self-paced, and take approximately 20 hours to complete.
- > The first module developed would be an Introduction to Epidemiology course.
- > The target audience for the pilot would be public health professionals in a variety of front line and management positions.
- > The prototype developed for this pilot would serve as a model for future courses.

Three goals were set for the Skills Enhancement for Health Surveillance initiative:

- Training should increase the awareness of basic principles of epidemiology, as well as
- > An appreciation for the value of those principles.
- > New knowledge and skills acquired will be transferred to the workplace.

DESCRIPTION OF THE INTRODUCTION TO EPIDEMIOLOGY COURSE

After a formal evaluation, WebCT was the delivery platform chosen for this pilot. The course content was divided into three units:

- Unit 1: covered basic epidemiological concepts five lessons
- Unit 2: dealt with health status assessment plus form and presentation of epidemiological data three lessons
- Unit 3: looked at the types of epidemiological studies plus methods used to investigate disease three lessons

Each unit had several features:

- Pre-test and Post-test (multiple choice or matching questions scored by WebCT);
- Content section;
- Learning objectives; and
- > Self-assessment exercise.

The left menu bar provided shortcuts to Course Information, Discussions, and Learning Exercises. The top menu bar provided links to My WebCT, Resume Course, Course Map, and WebCT Help Files. These bars were visible and accessible at all times to the learner.

The content areas provided text, illustrations, graphs, and pictures as well as web links on the topic being covered. Learning objectives were always second on the list after the introduction to the content. Five lessons had interview audio files available in either RealAudio or Win Media.

Bulletin Board Discussion Activities provided concise topic-related information. Students were to post answers to questions for all to see. Participants could link to the Bulletin Board Discussion within the Bulletin Board Discussion question or through a link on the Homepage. General discussion areas were provided through Course Feedback and Main links. Nine of the eleven lessons contained learning exercises that required the student to respond to an essay type question. These responses were graded manually by the instructor, who also provided feedback. Participants received up to ten points for submissions.

Multiple choice quizzes were the medium chosen for self-assessment activities. This provided instant feedback to the learner. Scores were not tallied and explanations for correct answers were pre-configured and immediately available. Students could do self-assessments as often as they pleased and results were not saved. Technical help was available through a toll-free number. Help using WebCT itself was available online within the course. No training was provided prior to beginning the course.

METHODOLOGY

A variety of methods for evaluation was employed in order to provide for triangulation. The process was valued equally with the product. Ongoing feedback throughout the pilot provided opportunity for modifications to be made to improve the experience for the students. Four criteria were set for evaluation:

- Design: suitability of online learning environment, participant expectations, module design, and assessments.
- Content: appropriateness, interest/motivation to learn, difficulty, amount of material, value of resources/links, orientation to online environment.
- Process: pacing, support, feedback, technical skill deficiencies, technical glitches, effective use of time, access to technology, interaction.
- Outcome: goals met, real world application, understanding the real world, skill acquisition.

Flashlight Current Student Inventory (CSI) was used to develop survey instruments. The online participant survey consisted of 37 Likert-type questions, 2 multiple choice and 3 open-ended questions. The focus group protocol and the telephone interview guide were also prepared using CSI.

Participant Information

Developers expected to have 20 to 25 participants. However, 48 learners enrolled and 43 of them remained active for the duration. Not all participants completed the course or engaged fully in the content. All participants were public health professionals. All the Canadian provinces and territories were represented.

Data Collection Procedures

- > Online survey;
- > Four focus groups prior to the Health Canada two-day workshop;
- > Two-day workshop;
- > Course developer interviews; and
- > Telephone interviews with those who could not attend focus groups.

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Design

The course home page needed a welcome screen, and art work could have been more professional rather than the clip art that was used. There should be clear, concise directions on how to navigate the course. Color coding could be more effectively used and Units should be appropriately titled rather than simply numbered. The screen appeared cluttered and should be reorganized and simplified. The introduction to each unit should state the amount of time expected to complete as well as directions to the assessment activities. Use blue for hypertext links.

The concepts were successfully integrated with media in the course design. Information cards were valued and could be part of the course materials package. Audio files were not successfully utilized by students, but are a good strategy. Therefore they should be revised.

The amount of scrolling required was an issue with learners. Hypertext anchors can be used more effectively to ease navigation. Use of the back button was not fully explained. Screen shots of external links could reduce frustration.

There should be a list of learning outcomes for the course as a whole. A course syllabus should be added so students can orient themselves more fully. Learning outcomes should require more cognitive involvement from the participants. Assessments and learning activities should more closely relate to learning objectives. Multiple choice course assessments do not require higher level understanding of course material.

Prior to starting the course, students should have time for training on how to make best use of the online learning environment. Students did not make good use of online help available in WebCT, so other means of providing support should be investigated.

System configuration information should be more easily discovered. Use of an online system check would have been appreciated by learners so that they did not have to figure out if they had the right resources required.

WebCT is a good platform for the course. However, developers could look at additional options for delivery, such as CD-ROMs. PDF files could be used within WebCT to allow students to download, save, and print offline.

Improvements suggested for the course development cycle:

- > Standardized format for all future modules;
- > Six-month time frame to produce learning activities; and
- > Pilot test modules with small group of learners, with half of the group being new to online learning.

Content

It is recommended that 25 hours be the time allotted for participants to spend on the course. Participants took up to 40 hours to complete the pilot. Therefore, content should be reduced. The learning objectives were adequately supported by the content and were sequenced effectively.

Historical content should remain, but not be tested. Pre- and post-tests caused anxiety for some participants. However, it was recommended that they be continued, although steps should be taken to reduce stress for the learner.

Process

Learning exercises were seen as relevant to the participants but should be tailored to a variety of positions held in public health. Although discussion board activities did not activate as much student-to-student interaction as was hoped, they should be included in future modules to encourage growth of an online community. Both synchronous and asynchronous discussions could be explored. Face-to-face seminars at regional centres could also act as catalysts for student interaction.

Getting prompt feedback from instructors was an issue. This could be aided by limiting enrollment to a 1:20 student/teacher ratio as the enrollment in the pilot was 1:43. During the pilot, instructors were still working on course content. This was addressed earlier when the recommendation was made that developers be given six months to prepare online modules.

Access to technology caused problems. Informing students of technical requirements upfront would help. There also needs to be an investment in technology made by employers and government agencies.

Technical support is critical, especially for those new to online learning. Toll-free telephone support should be available. Access to instructor support in regards to course content should also be available though another venue.

Outcomes

Recognition or credit for participating in modules and successfully completing them was important to learners. Developers should explore the issue of granting university credit or some other type of recognition. Evaluation of future courses offered by Health Canada is essential to ongoing success. Finally the recommendation was made that the report be made available to participants and course developers.

CONCLUSION

The evaluation focused on four criteria: design, content, process, and outcomes. Seven different sources were used to gather information for the evaluation.

Forty-three public health professionals from across Canada actively participated in the pilot course entitled Introduction to Epidemiology. In general, the participants were satisfied with the experiences. However, there were frustrations with the technical aspects of the learning. There was question as to the relevance of the historical perspective in the unit, but the rest of the content was viewed as being of value. Learners also stated that they were able to transfer their learnings to real world applications. The original estimate of course duration was ten hours. Most people took three to four times longer than this, which caused stress for some.

Participants were pleased with the immediate feedback received when doing quizzes and self-assessments. However, feedback from instructors took too long in many cases. This was at least partially due to the high student/teacher ratio and the fact the instructors were still devoting much time to developing course content. Pre- and post-tests caused anxiety to learners. In some cases they scored higher in pre-tests than post-tests. The discussion boards were not effectively used for student-to-student interaction as time was an issue; participants decided that if they were going to let something go, it turned out to be the discussions.

Overall this pilot was seen as a success. The course needs to be refined, and recommendations can be used to improve future modules. Screen layout, navigation, organization, and presentation of content can be enhanced through the recommendations

given. Student orientation to online learning and system configuration would alleviate much frustration and time wasting efforts to figure things out.

Time to complete the course should be 25 hours; therefore, the content must be reduced. The historical background might become optional and perhaps not be tested. Pre- and post-tests need to match content and learning objectives.

Discussion groups need to be strengthened in order to bring about a feeling of a learning community. Class ratio for student/instructor should be kept to 1:20. Technical support should be addressed via a toll-free telephone and instructor support regarding content should be accessible through separate means. Students should know who to contact for technical advice and who to contact for content issues.

Granting of credit for those who desire it should be explored. Participants should be able to access the Evaluation of the Skills Enhancement for Health Surveillance Pilot Online Module on Epidemiology Final Report. This strategy for providing professional development for public health employees is seen as a feasible and cost effective means to deliver quality training. Standards should be kept up through ongoing feedback and evaluation.

BIODATA AND CONTACT ADDRESSES OF AUTHORS



Dr. Rory McGreal is Associate Vice President, Research at Athabasca University.

Previously, he was the executive director of TeleEducation New Brunswick, a province-wide bilingual (French/English) distributed distance learning network.

Rory McGreal Professor & Associate Vice President Research Athabasca University - Canada's Open University 1 University Dr., Athabasca, AB, CANADA T6C 3Z8 Email: <u>rory@athabascau.ca</u> Phone: (780) 675-6821 Fax: (780) 675-6722

Sue Davis is a registered nurse and a leading educator at the New Brunswick Community College Saint John. She has more than ten years experience in health education at a distance.

Terry Murphy is a consultant in distance education. He has been involved in setting up online learning programs since the web was first available in the early 1990s.

Chris Smith is an instructor at the New Brunswick Community College Saint John and director of the Consortium for Information Technologies in Education (CITE).

They all can be contacted at:

Consortium for Information Technologies in Education (CITE) New Brunswick Community College Saint John 950 Grandview Ave., P.O. Box 2270, Saint John, N.B., Canada E2L 3V1

REFERENCES

Abbott, T. E. (1993). Off-campus library services programs to enhance faculty-student interaction and student personal development for students at a distance. *DEOS*, 3 (10).

Anderson, T. D., & Garrison, D. J., (1995). Transactional issues in distance education: The impact of design in audioteleconferencing. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 9(2), 27 - 45.

Atlantic Colleges Committee for Entrepreneurial Development. (1998). *From attitude to action: Creating an entrepreneurial environment.* St. John.s, NF: Author.

Bang, J. (1994). Curriculum, pedagogy and educational technologies. *EADTU - News*, 18, December, 35-41.

Bates, A. W. (1990). Towards a European electronic university: Technology and course design for European-wide distance education. *EADTU*.

Bates, A. W. (2000). *Managing technological change: Strategies for college and university administrators*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Boshier, R. et al. (2000, Fall). Best and worst dressed web courses: strutting into the 21st century in comfort and style. *Distance Education*, Vol. 18(2).

Boshier, R. and Onn (1997). C.M. Discursive constructions of web learning and education. *Journal of Distance Education*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 1997.

Burge, E.J. Learning in computer conferenced contexts: The learners' perspective. *Journal* of distance education, 9 (1), 1994.

Cahoon, B., ed. (1998, Summer). *Adult learning and the internet. New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 78.

Canning, C., & Swift, K. (1992). Connecting the University and the Field of Practice: Computer Conferencing in Education at the University of Michigan. In M. Waggoner (Ed.), *Empowering Networks: Computer Conferencing in Education* (0 ed., pp. 1-34). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Educational Technology Publications.

Carr, P., Schneberger, S., & Haggerty, N. (2001, April 23). High quality online education compares well to the traditional classroom. Paper presented at *the Ontario Society for Training and Development (OSTD) 2001 Symposium, "e-Learning and Skill Development in the Workplace: Practical solutions to build organizational effectiveness",* Waterloo.

Cranton, P. (1998). *No one way: Teaching and learning in higher education.* Toronto, ON: Wall & Emmerson, Inc.

Dede, C. (1996). The evolution of distance education: Emerging technologies and distributed learning. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 10(2), 4 - 36.

DeSimone, C., Lou, Y., & Schmid, R. (2001). Meaningful and interactive distance learning supported by the use of metaphor and synthesizing activities. *Journal of Distance Education*, *16* (*1*), 85 - 101.

Ehrmann, S. (1989). Improving a distributed learning environment with computers andtelecommunications. In R. Mason & A. Kaye (Eds.), *Mindweave: Communication, computers and distance education* (255-259). Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press.

Harmon, S. W., & Jones, M. G. (1999). The five levels of web use in education: Factors to consider in planning online courses. *Educational Technology*, 39(6), 28 - 32.

Ip, A. & Linser, R. (2001, January/February). Evaluation of a role-play simulation in political science. *The Technology Source: Assessment.* Retrieved March 11, 2005, from http://horizon.unc.edu/TS/default.asp?show=article&id=816

Kapinus, C. (2001, May/June). Combining Technology and Group Learning. *Horizon: CaseStudies.* <u>http://horizon.unc.edu/TS/default.asp?show=article&id=851</u> Retrieved May 11, 2005, from

Kearsley, G. (1995). The nature and values of interaction in distance education. In *Third Distance Education Research Symposium*. Pennsylvania State University: American Center for the Study of Distance Education.

Kiesler, J., & McGuire, H. (1987). Aspects of computer-mediated communication. *International Psychologist*, 32(10), 45 - 67.

King, K. (1998, Summer). Course development on the World Wide Web. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, *78*, 25-32.

Machtmes, K., & Asher, J. W. (2000). A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of telecourses in distance education. *American Journal of Distance Education*,14(1), 27-46.

Mason, R., & Kaye, A. (Eds.). (1989). *Mindweave: Communication, computers and distance education*. Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press. Moore, M. (1992). Three types of interaction. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 3(2), 1 - 6.

Moore, M. G., & Kearsley, G. (1996). *Distance education: A systems view*. Belmont, CA:Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Parker, A. (1999, Autumn/Winter). Interaction in distance education: The critical conversation. *Educational Technology Review*, (12), 13 - 17.

Peters, J. & Armstrong, J. (1998) Collaborative learning: People laboring together to construct knowledge. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education, 79* (Fall 1998), 75-85.